

Project Cassandra II: Identification Assessment of the "Unknown Coder"

The Coder's Digital Signature: A Forensic Profile

This section establishes the baseline forensic profile of the target individual by synthesizing all known attributes from the available intelligence. This profile is not speculative; it is a composite of verifiable technical and behavioral markers that serves as the primary analytical tool for candidate evaluation and exclusion.

Temporal and Geographic Footprint: The "London Night Owl"

The single most critical piece of geographic evidence isolating the coder is found in the project's version control history. All 169 of Satoshi Nakamoto's code commits to the SourceForge repository between 2009 and 2010 use timestamps consistent with British Summer Time (BST), or UTC+1. This data point directly implicates an individual operating within the United Kingdom or a compatible European time zone, such as Central European Time (CET) or Western European Summer Time (WEST).

This finding becomes particularly significant when contrasted with the metadata from the Bitcoin whitepaper. Analysis of two drafts of the paper reveals PDF timestamps with US Mountain Time Zone offsets. This direct conflict between the time zones embedded in the foundational paper (authored by the project's architect) and the subsequent development work (executed by the implementer) provides powerful evidence of a geographically distributed team. It allows for the isolation of the *coding* function to a specific, non-US time zone.

When the BST-stamped commit activity is plotted over a 24-hour cycle, it aligns with a "night owl" pattern for someone based in the UK. Activity clusters in the late evening and early morning hours, suggesting work done outside of conventional business hours. The consistency of this pattern across the entire development period indicates a deeply ingrained habit or schedule, rather than a temporary work arrangement.

Technical Fingerprint: The 1990s-Era C++ Practitioner

Analysis of the original Bitcoin codebase reveals a distinct and idiosyncratic coding style that provides a clear technical fingerprint of the developer. The initial release, Bitcoin v0.1, was written for Windows only, a detail confirmed by its use of `\r\n` for newlines and the fact that early non-Windows users had to run it via emulation software like Wine. This strongly suggests the use of Microsoft Visual Studio as the primary integrated development environment (IDE).

The coder employed conventions that were common in the 1990s but had become less fashionable by 2008. Most notably, the code utilized Hungarian notation, a variable-naming convention heavily associated with Microsoft's development ecosystem of that earlier era. This combination of a Windows-only environment and an older coding style points to a developer whose formative experiences were likely in the 1990s, outside the burgeoning Linux-based open-source culture more common in Europe at the time.

Despite these somewhat dated conventions, the quality of the code itself was exceptionally

high. Early developers and analysts describe it as "production-grade," "brilliant," and "tightly written," with competent use of the C++ Standard Template Library (STL). Crucially, it was free of the common low-level C++ bugs that plague less experienced programmers, such as buffer overflows, stack smashes, or double frees. This indicates a highly skilled and experienced practitioner.

However, the codebase also lacked modern development practices. There was a notable absence of unit tests, a practice that was becoming standard in professional software development by the late 2000s but was less common for solo developers in the 1990s. Early Bitcoin developer Jeff Garzik later described the code as "messy, self-taught, and disorganized" but functional, similar to code written by scientists or engineers focused on solving a specific problem rather than adhering to formal software engineering principles. This technical fingerprint converges on a specific developer archetype: a highly skilled, experienced C++ programmer, likely self-taught or having learned their craft in the 1990s, who was comfortable working alone in a Windows environment.

Operational Security (OPSEC) Posture

The "Unknown Coder" has remained completely unidentified for over a decade, a testament to an extremely high level of operational security and personal discipline. This is a key behavioral trait. The individual was not a public figure who sought recognition; they were recruited privately, performed a specific and highly complex technical task, and then vanished from the project without a trace. This suggests a personality that is reclusive, security-conscious, and motivated by the project's ideology rather than personal fame or fortune. This high OPSEC level is a critical filter for evaluating public figures who might otherwise fit the technical profile.

Forensic Attribute	Profile Specification	Key Evidence
Geographic Location	United Kingdom or compatible European time zone	All 169 SourceForge commits use timestamps consistent with British Summer Time (BST).
Development Environment	Windows / Microsoft Visual Studio	Initial Bitcoin v0.1 release was Windows-only; code used \r\n newlines.
Primary Language	C++	Bitcoin's original reference implementation was written entirely in C++.
Coding Conventions	Hungarian Notation	Idiosyncratic use of a naming convention popular in the 1990s Microsoft ecosystem.
Code Quality	Production-grade, tightly written, no low-level memory errors	Analysis by early developers noted the code's robustness and lack of common C++ bugs.
Development Practices	Absence of unit tests; style of a solo developer	Lack of modern team-based practices suggests habits formed in the 1990s.
Operational Security	Extremely high; identity remains completely unknown	The coder has never been identified, indicating exceptional personal discipline and OPSEC.

Investigative Vector Analysis: The Recruitment Pathway

The analysis proceeds from the high-confidence judgment that the coder was not a random volunteer but a deliberately selected specialist. This section analyzes the most probable recruitment vector by tracing the intellectual and professional networks of the project's principals, Nick Szabo and Ian Grigg, during the critical 2007-2009 period.

The Catalyst: Szabo's April 2008 "Call for Code"

The most likely inciting incident for the recruitment of the "Unknown Coder" occurred in April 2008, a full six months before the Bitcoin whitepaper was published. On his long-running blog "Unenumerated," Nick Szabo revived his Bit Gold concept and made an explicit public request for implementation assistance, asking his readers: "Anybody want to help me code one up?". This blog post is a critical piece of temporal evidence. It signals Szabo's definitive transition from a theoretical architect to a project lead actively seeking an implementer to bring his vision to life. A forensic review of archived versions of this blog post and its comments reveals no public replies to this specific request. The absence of a public response is highly significant, as it strongly suggests that any collaboration that resulted from this call occurred through private channels, such as encrypted email. This method aligns perfectly with the high degree of operational security maintained by the Satoshi Nakamoto persona from its inception.

The Connector: Ian Grigg's Network and the Ricardo System

The "Satoshi Team" hypothesis, supported by strong stylometric evidence, posits Ian Grigg as the project's communicator. A review of Grigg's work reveals a focus on financial systems architecture and accounting principles, not low-level C++ implementation, creating a "C++ Gap" within the core team. If Grigg was a principal, it is highly probable he would recruit a technical specialist from his own trusted professional network to fill this gap.

Grigg's most relevant work in the years preceding Bitcoin was on Ricardian Contracts and the "Ricardo" payment system, a platform for trading financial instruments like bonds. This system was co-developed by Grigg and a C++ developer named Gary Howland at a company called Systemics. Howland authored a paper on the "Systemics Open Transaction (SOX)" protocol, the engine behind Ricardo, demonstrating deep systems-level expertise. The nature of the project—a high-performance, secure payment system for financial instruments—makes C++ a highly probable implementation language, a fact supported by related academic papers in the financial cryptography field at the time.

This establishes a clear, logical, and OPSEC-sound chain of recruitment. Szabo, the architect, puts out a public but niche call for a coder. Grigg, a fellow financial cryptographer deeply familiar with Szabo's work, answers the call privately to serve as project manager and communicator. To fill the C++ implementation gap, Grigg turns to his most trusted technical collaborator from his most relevant prior project: Gary Howland, the C++ co-developer of the Ricardo system. This vector makes the development team behind Ricardo the single most promising pool of candidates for the "Unknown Coder" role.

Candidate Generation and High-Probability

Assessment

This section provides a detailed intelligence dossier for each high-probability candidate, systematically evaluating them against the forensic profile established in Section 1. The analysis focuses on confirming or refuting each candidate's suitability for the specific role of the pre-release C++ implementer.

Candidate Dossier 1: Adam Back (The Hashcash Progenitor)

Adam Back is a British cryptographer and a central figure in the cypherpunk movement. His location and expertise make him a primary candidate.

- **Profile Match (Strengths):**
 - **Geographic Location:** Back is a confirmed UK resident and was based there during the 2008-2010 period, holding a PhD from the University of Exeter. His location is a perfect match for the BST commit timestamps.
 - **Technical Expertise:** He has a long career as an applied cryptographer, including experience writing cryptographic libraries. He possesses the requisite high-level skill to have implemented the Bitcoin protocol.
 - **Community Proximity:** As the inventor of Hashcash, a foundational component of Bitcoin, Back was in the immediate intellectual orbit of the project. He was one of the first two people Satoshi contacted for feedback on the whitepaper in August 2008, placing him at the scene at the moment of creation.
- **Profile Mismatch (Weaknesses):**
 - **Stated Language Preference:** Back is on public record stating he is "philosophically an anti-C++ person preferring C". While this does not preclude C++ proficiency, it represents a significant contradiction to him choosing to implement a massive project in a language he philosophically opposes.
 - **Lack of Known Code Contribution:** Public records and his own statements indicate he never contributed code to the Bitcoin project. This could, however, be part of a meticulous OPSEC arrangement if he were the initial, anonymous coder.
 - **Public Profile:** Back was a well-known figure in the cryptography community. Taking on a secret coding role would require immense discipline, especially as he publicly corresponded with and critiqued the "Satoshi" persona.
- **Assessment:** Adam Back is a Tier 1 candidate due to the perfect match in location, technical background, and timeline of involvement. The primary counter-evidence is his stated preference for C over C++ and his public denials of code contribution, which may or may not be attributable to operational security.

Candidate Dossier 2: Gary Howland (The Ricardo Co-Developer)

Gary Howland is a C++ developer known for his collaboration with Ian Grigg. He represents the "insider" recruitment vector.

- **Profile Match (Strengths):**
 - **Link to Grigg:** Howland is the only known direct technical collaborator with Ian Grigg on a major financial cryptography project, the Ricardo payment system. This is the strongest known professional link to a project principal for any potential C++ developer.

- **Relevant Domain Expertise:** His work on the Ricardo system and the SOX protocol demonstrates direct experience building a secure, flexible payment system for financial instruments, a skillset perfectly analogous to the requirements for building Bitcoin.
- **C++ Skills:** The Ricardo payment system was a high-performance financial system where C++ would be a logical implementation choice. Related academic work confirms C++ was used for similar projects in the field at that time.
- **Profile Mismatch (Weaknesses):**
 - **Geographic Location:** Howland's location during the 2008-2010 period is not established in the available open-source intelligence. One data point refers to a Gary Howland moving to Ohio, USA, in 2010, but it is not confirmed if this is the same individual. Confirmation of his UK or European residency during the critical period is essential to his candidacy.
 - **Public Footprint:** Howland has a very low public profile within the cypherpunk community. There is no evidence of him interacting on the cryptography mailing lists or with Nick Szabo. This aligns with the high OPSEC of the "Unknown Coder," but also means there is a lack of corroborating evidence placing him in the right intellectual circles.
- **Assessment:** Gary Howland is a high-potential but data-deficient candidate. He is the most logical individual to have been recruited privately through Ian Grigg's network. His viability as a candidate is entirely contingent on confirming his C++ expertise and, most critically, his UK/EU location in 2008-2009.

Candidate Dossier 3: Martti Malmi (The First Follower)

Martti Malmi was a Finnish computer science student and the first developer to join the Bitcoin project after its public launch.

- **Profile Match (Strengths):**
 - **Confirmed C++ Contributor:** Malmi was explicitly tasked with C++ coding by Satoshi. He was responsible for the Linux port of Bitcoin v0.2, which was released in December 2009.
 - **Geographic Location:** Malmi was based in Finland throughout this period. Finland's time zone (EET, UTC+2/UTC+3) is compatible with the "London night owl" commit pattern.
- **Profile Mismatch (Weaknesses):**
 - **Timeline of Involvement:** Malmi's collaboration with Satoshi began in May 2009, five months *after* the initial v0.1 codebase was released in January 2009. The initial codebase was a massive, pre-existing work of tens of thousands of lines that had been in development for up to two years. Malmi, therefore, cannot be the coder who implemented this foundational version.
- **Assessment:** Martti Malmi is conclusively a key early C++ contributor and was vital to the project's early growth. However, he is **not** the "Unknown Coder" responsible for the initial implementation from 2007-2009. This analysis serves to eliminate him from consideration for the primary target role and clarifies the project's development timeline.

Disqualified Persons of Interest

Rigorous application of the geographic filter—the requirement to be operating in a

BST-compatible time zone during the 2009-2010 commit period—disqualifies several other notable early contributors and correspondents:

- **Wei Dai:** Creator of b-money and an expert C++ developer (author of the Crypto++ library). He was based in the United States (Washington state), placing him in an incompatible time zone.
- **Ray Dillinger:** An early cryptographer who reviewed code for Satoshi. He was based in the United States (Kansas and the San Francisco Bay area), making him incompatible with the BST timeline.
- **James A. Donald:** An early and significant email correspondent with Satoshi. He is identified as a Canadian cypherpunk, also in an incompatible time zone.
- **Gavin Andresen:** Became lead developer after Satoshi's departure. He was based in the United States (Amherst, Massachusetts) and is therefore disqualified.

Synthesis and Final Assessment: A Ranked Candidate List

The investigation successfully narrows the field of plausible candidates to a very small number by rigorously applying the temporal, geographic, and technical filters. The evidence converges on two primary vectors: a prominent UK cryptographer who was involved at the project's inception, or a trusted private collaborator of the project principals.

Comparative Analysis

A direct comparison of the two leading candidates, Adam Back and Gary Howland, reveals distinct strengths and weaknesses.

Adam Back is a near-perfect match on almost all forensic markers. He was in the correct location (UK), possessed the elite technical skills required, and was in direct contact with "Satoshi" at the precise moment the project was being finalized. He fits the profile of a peer-level collaborator. The only significant contradictions are his stated preference for the C programming language and his public denials, both of which could be explained as deliberate misdirection as part of a disciplined OPSEC strategy.

Gary Howland is a perfect match from a network-analysis perspective. He represents the most logical and secure recruitment pathway: a trusted, pre-vetted specialist from Ian Grigg's inner circle. His prior work on a secure payment system is directly relevant. His candidacy, however, is critically weakened by a lack of open-source intelligence confirming his UK/EU residency during the 2008-2010 period and the specifics of his C++ development style.

Ranked Probability and Confidence Scoring

The final analysis produces the following ranked list of candidates for the role of the "Unknown Coder."

- **Rank 1: Adam Back (Medium-High Confidence).** The weight of circumstantial evidence is strongest for Back. He possesses the necessary skills, was in the right place at the right time, and was intimately involved at the moment of inception. The objections to his candidacy, while significant, are not insurmountable and can be plausibly explained by a sophisticated operational security plan.
- **Rank 2: Gary Howland (Low-Medium Confidence).** Howland is the most logical

candidate from a network analysis of the core Satoshi team. He represents the "trusted insider" recruitment model. However, the current lack of verifiable open-source intelligence confirming his location and specific coding profile prevents a higher confidence assessment. He remains a significant person of interest for any future investigation with access to non-public or proprietary data.

The evidence strongly indicates that the "Unknown Coder" was a UK-based C++ specialist who was either a known peer in the cypherpunk community or a trusted private collaborator of Ian Grigg. The individual was almost certainly one of these two men or a person with a nearly identical and as-yet-undiscovered profile.

Candidate	C++ Expertise	UK/EU Location (2008-2010)	Link to Szabo/Grigg	Alignment with Coder Profile	Final Probability / Confidence Score
Adam Back	PhD in Computer Science (Distributed Systems); career as applied cryptographer, wrote cryptographic libraries.	Confirmed UK Resident.	Direct email contact with "Satoshi" in August 2008 regarding the whitepaper.	High, but contradicted by his stated preference for C over C++. Fits the "1990s-era" experience level.	Medium-High
Gary Howland	Co-developed a complex, secure financial payment system (Ricardo) where C++ is a probable implementation language.	Unconfirmed. Requires verification. A "Gary Howland" is noted as moving to the US in 2010.	Direct, long-term technical collaborator with Ian Grigg on the Ricardo project.	Plausible. Expertise in payment systems is a strong match. Specific coding style is unknown.	Low-Medium

Works cited

1. I've spent a lot of time reviewing the original Bitcoin codebase. It's brilliant... - Hacker News, <https://news.ycombinator.com/item?id=15135442>
2. Satoshi Stories: Was There a "Satoshi Method" Of Coding? - Hemi.xyz, <https://hemi.xyz/blog/satoshi-stories-was-there-a-satoshi-method-of-coding/>
3. We Will Never Know Who Satoshi Nakamoto Was. That's Ideal. Here's Why. - Blink Wallet, <https://www.blink.sv/blog/we-will-never-know-who-satoshi-nakamoto-was-thats-ideal-heres-why>
4. Decoding the Enigma of Satoshi Nakamoto and the Birth of Bitcoin - Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/Bitcoin/comments/361niw/decoding_the_enigma_of_satoshi_nakamoto_and_the/
5. Ricardian contract - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ricardian_contract
6. (PDF) Financial Cryptography in 7 Layers - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2902543_Financial_Cryptography_in_7_Layers
7. The

Ricardian Contract - lang, https://iang.org/papers/ricardian_contract.html 8. Financial Cryptography in 7 Layers | Download Scientific Diagram - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Financial-Cryptography-in-7-Layers_fig1_2902543 9. SOX - Systemics Open Transactions, <https://www.systemics.com/docs/sox/> 10. Adam Back - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adam_Back 11. Who is Adam Back? - Bitstamp, <https://www.bitstamp.net/learn/people-profiles/adam-back/> 12. Policy News - Crypto Policy: How Regulations Are Impacting Digital Assets | The Block, <https://www.theblock.co/category/policy/522> 13. 14. Adam Back gets up early and catches up late with one of the founders of Bitcoin -, [https://chainless.hk/2023/01/24/14-adam-back-gets-up-early-and-ca...with-one-of-th...e-founders-of-bitcoin/](https://chainless.hk/2023/01/24/14-adam-back-gets-up-early-and-ca...) 14. Who is Adam Back? - Medium, https://medium.com/@crypto_astronauts/who-is-adam-back-2e189e26b662 15. 4 weird facts about Adam Back: (1) He never contributed any code to Bitcoin. (2) His Twitter profile contains 2 lies. (3) He wasn't an early adopter, because he never thought Bitcoin would work. (4) He can't figure out how to make Lightning Network decentralized. So... why do people listen to him?? - Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/btc/comments/47fr3p/4_weird_facts_about_adam_back_1_he_never/ 16. Meet Our Club Members | Rotary Club of Howland Twp - ClubRunner, <https://portal.clubrunner.ca/4940/page/meet-our-club-members> 17. Satoshi - Sirius emails 2009-2011, <https://mmalmi.github.io/satoshi/> 18. Satoshi Files: Martti Malmi | CoinMarketCap, <https://coinmarketcap.com/academy/article/satoshi-files-martti-malmi> 19. Martti Malmi – The Man Who Lost 55,000 Bitcoins Finnish dev | Zenart07 on Binance Square, <https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/30485892707265> 20. Untold Story of Martti Malmi Martti Malmi, a Finnis | Trade Oracle on Binance Square, <https://www.binance.com/en/square/post/11091768235706> 21. Wei Dai - The Book of Bitcoin, https://thebookofbitcoin.github.io/html/people/wei_dai.html 22. Wei Dai - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wei_Dai 23. Interview with Ray Dillinger | Great Wall of Numbers, <https://www.ofnumbers.com/2018/10/01/interview-with-ray-dillinger/> 24. Ray Dillinger | The Bitcoin Files - Avark Agency, <https://avark.agency/the-bitcoin-files/ray-dillinger> 25. Bitcoin History: Who was James A. Donald? The man who predicted the Lightning Network and Fedimint - Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/Bitcoin/comments/1051vvb/bitcoin_history_who_was_james_a_donald_the_man/ 26. Gavin Andresen - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gavin_Andresen 27. I'm Gavin Andresen, Chief Scientist at the Bitcoin Foundation. Ask me anything! - Reddit, https://www.reddit.com/r/Bitcoin/comments/2jw5pm/im_gavin_andresen_chief_scientist_at_the_bitcoin/